

SW



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/259,929	03/01/1999	ANTHONY CERAMI	10162-004-99	5875
7590 07/01/2004				
Frederick J Hamble Esq 712 Kitchawan Road Ossining, NY 10562				
EXAMINER WEBMAN, EDWARD J				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1617				
DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

09/259929

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY. DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

5-28-04

DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY

☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/20/04

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 17-19, 48, 50 is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 17-19, 48, 50 is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☐ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES--

Claims 1-6, 10-14, 17-19, 48, 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a porous matrix comprising polymeric material, does not reasonably provide enablement for a porous matrix comprising any material. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Nowhere in the specification do applicants disclose nonpolymeric materials such as sintered glass. It is not apparent that applicants contemplated any porous matrix other than one comprising a polymeric nor do applicants disclose how to fabricate the device ^{with} such a matrix ~~with~~ as sintered glass.

Claims 1-9, 11-14, 17-19, 48, 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an impermeable polymeric container, does not reasonably provide enablement for any impermeable container. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Nowhere in the specification do applicants disclose nonpolymeric impermeable materials such as metals. It is not apparent that applicants contemplated any impermeable material other than one comprising a polymer nor do applicants disclose how to fabricate the device with such a container as one of metal.

No claims allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/259,929

Page 3


Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Edward J.

Webman at telephone number 571-272-0633.

Webman/tgd

June 2, 2004


EDWARD J. WEBMAN
ATTORNEY AT LAW
WASHINGTON, D.C.